

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE MESSAGE TELECOMMUNICATIONS)	
SERVICE TARIFF OF CINCINNATI BELL)	CASE NO. 89-024
TELEPHONE COMPANY)	

O R D E R

On March 10, 1989, the Commission propounded a request for information to Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company ("Cincinnati Bell"). In part, the Commission ordered Cincinnati Bell to "provide a jurisdictional separations study in sufficient detail to show total interstate, intrastate, interLATA,¹ and intraLATA revenue requirements by principle service category."²

On March 24, 1989, Cincinnati Bell filed its response, stating that:

Cincinnati Bell has not performed a detailed jurisdictional separation study to show interstate, intrastate, interLATA, and intraLATA revenue requirements by service category. Cincinnati Bell believes that the time and cost that would be incurred in performing these detailed studies is not warranted in this filing. Nevertheless. . . Cincinnati Bell has proposed rates that would cover the access and interexchange costs for message telecommunications service.³

¹ Local Access and Transport Area.

² Case No. 89-024, Order dated March 10, 1989, Item 1.

³ Response of Cincinnati Bell to the Commission's Request for Information, filed March 24, 1989, Item 1.

In the opinion of the Commission, this answer is not responsive. The Commission is aware that Cincinnati Bell must perform jurisdictional separations studies to satisfy various requirements imposed by the Federal Communications Commission. Therefore, although the information may not be available in the level of detail requested, information on jurisdictional costs does exist. Also, the Commission is aware that Cincinnati Bell has produced information on jurisdictional toll revenue requirements in Case No. 8838.⁴ Therefore, Cincinnati Bell should be able to produce information on jurisdictional toll revenue requirements in this case.

The Commission will advise Cincinnati Bell that information concerning jurisdictional toll revenue requirements is essential to a decision on the reasonableness of the rates proposed in this case and will afford Cincinnati Bell the opportunity to supplement its response to the request item discussed above, within 10 days from the date of this Order.

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED.

⁴ Case No. 8838, An Investigation of Toll and Access Charge Pricing and Toll Settlement Agreements for Telephone Utilities to be Effective January 1, 1984.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 7th day of April, 1989.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


For the Commission

ATTEST:

Executive Director